

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 06780 101552Z

67

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 MC-02 /067 W

----- 078942

R 101225Z DEC 76

FM USMISSION NATO

INFO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 761

SECDEF WASHDC

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 6780

FOL TELEGRAM RPTD TO YOU FOR YOUR INFO

QUOTE

R 091841Z DEC 76

FM ARMY R&D COORD BONN GERMANY

TO RUCDGD/PM PATRIOT MSL SYSTEM REDSONE ARSENAL

INFO RUEADWD/DA WASHDC

RUFHNA/USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

RUDONBA/UCDR USARSRG LONDON

RUFNPS/CH ODC PARIS

RUFHBS/CH ODC BRUSSELS

RUDKSNQ/CH ODC OSLO

RUFRSBE/CH ODC ROME

RUQMGU/AMEMBASSY ANKARA

RUQMAT/CJUSMAG ATHENS GREECE

RUDKGPQ/USDAO THE HAGUE NETHERLANDS

RUDONBA/USDAO LONDON

RUKLDAR/CDR DARCOM ALEXANDRIA

C O N F I D E N T I A L 20754

REDSTONE ARSENAL FOR DRCPM-MD-L-L. DA WASH DC FOR

DAMA-PPI & DAMA-WSM-A. DARCOM FOR DRCIRD & DRCPM-MDW.

E.O. 11652: GDS

SUBJECT: PATRIOT SYSTEM BRIEFINGS - NATO

REF: YOUR 031745Z NOV 76.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 06780 101552Z

1. (U) IN RESPONSE TO THE REFERENCE THE FOLLOWING
VIEWS ARE PROVIDED.

2. (C) FRG CONSIDERS ITSELF, AND RIGHTLY SO, THE

BEST EUROPEAN FRIEND OF THE PATRIOT SYSTEM FROM AMONG THE NATO ALLIES. THEIR SERIOUS INTENT TO WORK TOWARD A PATRIOT TYPE SOLUTION (AS OPPOSED TO A "EUROPEAN" SOLUTION) FOR THE EUROPEAN FUTURE SAM PROBLEM IS KEYNOTED BY THEIR PARTICIPATION IN PROJECT SUCCESSOR. IN THE FRG VIEW THE BEST APPROACH TO ACCEPTANCE OF THE PATRIOT SYSTEM BY THE NATO ALLIES IS THROUGH NATO ITSELF. THERE IS, AND HAS BEEN FOR SOME TIME, A STRONG EFFORT FROM WITHIN EUROPE TO DEVELOP A EURO-CAPABILITY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FUTURE SAM. IT IS VITAL, THEY ARGUE, TO DEVELOP THIS TECHNOLOGY BASE WITHIN EUROPE. AS THINGS NOW STAND THERE IS NO SYSTEM OR CONCEPT THAT CAN REALLY COMPETE WITH PATRIOT. HOWEVER, ONCE THIS EUROPEAN CONCEPT IS INTRODUCED IN NATO, THE EUROPEAN ALLIES WILL BE FORCED TO FORMALLY CONSIDER THIS ALTERNATIVE. THE FRG, WITH ITS COMMITMENT TO EUROGROUP, MAY FIND ITSELF IN A POSITION IT DOES NOT WANT, I.E. REQUIRED BY THEIR EUROGROUP COMMITMENTS TO SEE THIS SOLUTION THROUGH. THIS CAN BEST BE COMBATED BY THE EARLY INTRODUCTION OF PATRIOT TO NATO.

3. (U) IT BECOMES MORE APPARENT THAT THE DAYS OF A DIRECT EUROPEAN PURCHASE OF A SOLEY US PRODUCED WEAPON SYSTEM ARE WANING. SERIOUS CONSIDERATION BY THE US FOR EUROPEAN PRODUCTION OF PATRIOT IS ESSENTIAL. TO DELAY THIS WILL ONLY FEED THE DEVELOPMENT OF A EUROPEAN SOLUTION.

4. (U) THROUGH THE EUROGROUP THE FRG IS TIED TO THIS CONCEPT. AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, A STRONG US/FRG COOPERATION IS MOST INFLUENTIAL IN NATO. THIS, HOWEVER, DOES NOT ELIMINATE THE NEED TO NURTURE THE INTEREST OF THE OTHER NATO COUNTRIES. A GERMAN DECISION FOR ADOPTION MUST BE TIED WITH
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 06780 101552Z

THE DIRECTION NATO FOLLOWS.

UNQUOTE STRAUSS-HUPE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 30 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 DEC 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: BoyleJA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976NATO06780
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761290/baaabaeb.tel
Line Count: 100
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: BoyleJA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 06 APR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <06 APR 2004 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <02 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: n/a
To: n/a INFO RUEHC STATE
SECDEF
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006